

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-------------------|----------------|-----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/775,310 | 02/01/2001 | Kurt Sager-Hintermann | FE-13 | 8239 |
| 7 | 590 04/05/2004 | | EXAM | INER |
| FRIEDRICH | KUEFFNER | | VARNER, STEVE M | |
| 317 MADISON | N AVENUE | | | |
| SUITE 910 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| NEW YORK NY 10017 | | | 2635 | |

DATE MAILED: 04/05/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

SAGER-HINTERMANN ET AL. 09/775,310 Advisory Action Examiner **Art Unit**

Application No.

Steve M Varner 3635

Applicant(s)

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 02 February 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

| PERIOD FOR REPLY [ch | eck either a) or b)] |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| a) \square The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date of the final | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED W 706.07(f). | ction, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on we fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorter (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later that timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(| n and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension ned statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or in three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief magnetic and CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(a)). | |
| $2. \square$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: | |
| (a) they raise new issues that would require further consider | leration and/or search (see NOTE below); |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); | |
| (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better t issues for appeal; and/or | form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the |
| (d) they present additional claims without canceling a cor | responding number of finally rejected claims. |
| NOTE: | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allow canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | rable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment |
| 5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for reconsiderable application in condition for allowance because: See Continu | |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | s not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) explanation of how the new or amended claims would be r | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | |
| Claim(s) rejected: | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | |
| 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or | b) disapproved by the Examiner. |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PT | O-1449) Paper No(s) |
| 10. Other: | |
| | /// |
| 1 (/ | |
| $\lambda \mathcal{C}$ | Carl D. Friedman |

Advisory Action

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Group 3600 Part of Paper No. 040104

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Configured for use for surface treating, coating, of finishing and configured to be connectable to a vacuum source are functional statements. Most any room could be used for surface treating, coating, or finishing and connected to a vacuum source. Electrical conduits are stationary and detachable.